

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,337	MIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dismery E. Mercedes	2651	

All Participants:

(1) Dismery E. Mercedes.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Ervin F. Johnston.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2005

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1, 21,31.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney and the examiner discussed the clarity of the last (critical) limitation of Claim 1, which also applied to claims 21 and 31. The attorney allowed the examiner to enter an examiners amendment to clearly point out the subject matter of the claim with regards to that limitation.